1. Tomáš ŠKUMÁT¹, 2. Žaneta ELESCHOVÁ²

Západoslovenská distribučná, a. s. (1). Slovak University of Technology in Bratislava (2) ORCID. 2. 0000-0002-7596-108X

doi:10.15199/48.2021.11.25

Negative Sequence Current as a Breaker Failure Protection for Medium Voltage Grids

Abstract. This paper focuses on situations in Medium Voltage (MV) grids where a feeder's breaker failure occurred. This type of fault is quite severe but well handled in higher voltage systems (high voltage, extra high voltage, etc.), basically in looped grids. However, medium voltage grids were built in a different way even in terms of protection relay in power systems, automatics and backups. Several cases of a breaker failure situation have led us to reconsider the existing protection relay scheme used in Západoslovenská distribučná, a. s. (ZSD) – a distribution system operator. The paper also provides suggestions for a real operation – principle of a breaker failure protection by coordination is improved.

Streszczenie. W niniejszym artykule skupiono się na sytuacjach w sieciach średniego napięcia (SN), w których wystąpiła awaria wyłącznika linii zasilającej. Ten rodzaj błędu jest dość poważny, ale dobrze obsługiwany w systemach wyższego napięcia (wysokie napięcie, bardzo wysokie napięcie itp.), zasadniczo w sieciach zapętionych. Jednak sieci średniego napięcia były budowane w inny sposób nawet w zakresie zabezpieczeń w układach elektroenergetycznych, automatyki i rezerwowania. Kilka przypadków awarii wyłączników skłoniło nas do ponownego rozważenia istniejącego schematu przekaźnika zabezpieczeniowego stosowanego w Západoslovenská distribučná, a. s. (ZSD) – operator systemu dystrybucyjnego. W artykule zawarto również sugestie dotyczące rzeczywistego działania – udoskonalono zasadę działania zabezpieczenia od uszkodzenia wyłącznika przez koordynację. (Prąd składowej przeciwnej jako zabezpieczenie przed uszkodzeniem wyłącznika w sieciach średniego napięcia)

Keywords: breaker failure (BF), circuit breaker, MV grid, protection relay, busbar, fault, negative sequence, protection relay. **Słowa kluczowe:** uszkodzenie wyłącznika, prąd składowej przeciwnej.

Introduction

A breaker failure (BF) is considered to be a situation, where a circuit breaker (CB) nearest to a fault (selectivity) does not clear the fault for some reason. There may be several reasons why this happens – CB itself can be damaged (extinguishing medium changed its properties), tripping coil is damaged, auxiliary circuits or secondary circuits encounter a problem – some types of CBs for MV use electronic mainboards), protection relay that trips the CB encounters a failure, etc.

Referring to Fig. 1, let us assume, there is a fault between CB 3 and CB 4. Protective relays associated with CB 3 and CB 4, determined to detect faults on the line between these CBs, operate and command CB 3 and CB 4 to trip. In this example, CB 3 fails to interrupt the fault current. Therefore, all sources that continue to supply the fault current through CB 3 need to be interrupted. Assuming sources at stations A and C, CBs 2, 5, and 7 need to be opened locally, or CBs 1, 6, and 8 would need to be opened remotely [1]. Major disadvantages in relation to a remote backup are wider outages (more customers affected), longer clearing time (also time of voltage dip) but the greatest advantage is independency. Local backup is substantially faster but the primary disadvantage of a local breaker failure protection (BFP) is that it may suffer from a common-mode failure [1].



Fig.1. BF procedure [1]

BF can be caused by a variety of situations – a failure to trip or a failure to clear. In the first case, the breaker contacts do not open after the trip circuit has been energized by the protection ("stuck breaker"). In the latter case, the contacts open but the arc is not extinguished and current continues to flow [1].

Common elements of a BF to interrupt the scheme include the following [1]:

• Scheme initiation by a breaker trip signal such as a protective relay that has operated to trip the breaker.

• Determination that the breaker has tripped successfully by monitoring reset of an overcurrent element (50BF) that responds to each measured phase current (50P) and possibly the sum of these phase currents (50G), monitoring change in state of the circuit breaker auxiliary contact (52a, 52b or 52aa), or a combination of these methods.

A timer.

• Some means to trip and block closing of adjacent breakers.

• Optional – a separate output contact to issue a re-trip signal to the circuit breaker before issuing a breaker failure output with sufficient margin such that successful opening of the circuit breaker will prevent and undesired BF output.

• Optional – a teleprotection channel to key a direct transfer trip (DTT) and to cancel reclosing of remote circuit breakers.

In general, there are several BFP schemes [1]:

- Basic BF scheme.
- Basic BF with re-trip logic.
- BF scheme for dual breaker arrangements.
- BF scheme based on 50 BF pickup time.
- BF scheme with two-step timing arrangement.
- BF initiate seal-in.
- · BF minimal current scheme.
- Dual timer BF scheme with a fast breaker auxiliary contact and a current detector reset check.
- Triple timer BF scheme.
- Single-phase tripping, BF, and re-trip logic.
- BF timer bypass scheme.
- Current differential BF protection.
- Ground fault BF on both a live tank circuit breaker and a current transformer column failure.
- Series (tandem) breakers.
- BF protection for generator applications.
- Mechanical indication of breaker status (52a). BF can be a part of [1]:
 - Primary protection for an element,

• Feeder, transformer, motor or transmission line protection devices,

- Centralized bus protection devices,
- Distributed bus protection devices.

Merits, advantages and disadvantages of integrating BFP with zone protection relays are discussed in [2]. In the [2] methods to improve the security of BFP are also reviewed.

Fundamentals of a local and remote backup protection in combination with real experience from utilities are discussed in [3]. It is also very important to watch a failure rate and take measures where it is inevitable. Issue of reliability characteristics of the 110 kV / MV station and MV switchgears is presented in [4].

BF Protection by Coordination

Faults occur frequently in MV grids. In general, the number of faults increases downstream from a transmission system to a distribution system. Therefore, there is a higher chance of BF.

Looped grids (HV systems, extra HV systems, etc.) were built with a different approach for a breaker failure situation

The main difference between looped grids and MV grids may be already apparent. On one hand, there is an operational difference and on the other hand, there is also a technological difference. MV grids are operated radially. Wiring between protection relays is not done like in looped grids. There are also different types of protection relays installed - overcurrent instead of distance protections. Busbar differential protection is also not a common protection in MV grids. Feeder's breaker failure was historically left just to an overcurrent protection relay installed to a feeding transformer [5, 6] - a so called breaker failure protection by coordination. There is one major drawback in this kind of solution - it may be impossible for the backup protection relay to see all faults.

Referring to Fig. 2, let us assume, there is a fault on the feeder with CB4 that has encountered a BF. Backup for this situation is provided by a protection relay that operates CB1 in general a transformer's overcurrent protection relay installed on MV side. Simplicity is a very significant advantage in relation to this solution - no extra equipment, no risk of mis-operation. It is an ultimate protection, which covers all failures, not just BF (failure of CB, relay, settings. controls and wiring, etc.). The main disadvantage is speed - it is a slow type of BF backup.



Fig.2. BF procedure in MV grids

It is a common practice for distribution, but typically not sufficient for transmission. Solutions for transmission were already mentioned in Introduction. However, the BFP by coordination can be improved by a negative sequence current protection.

MV Feeding Transformer's Neutral Earthing

There is one major aspect that must be specified, which is HV/MV transformer's neutral point earthing on the MV side. Basic types are [7]:

- Isolated
- Solid
- Low impedance
- Resonant (compensated)

MV grids in Europe are not operated as solidly grounded [8]. This type of operation is very convenient from economical and maintenance perspective but there is a very severe impact on reliability indicators SAIDI and SAIFI. Other types are common for European MV grids, whereas resonant grounding is very popular indeed. Smaller grids (low capacitive currents of shunt capacitances) can be operated as isolated but with grid expand it becomes meaningless.

Low impedance is mostly used in pure cable grids. Reason for this is very simple - majority of faults are permanent (insulation system breakdown of cables), although there are some pilot projects handling with resonant grounding even in case of pure cable grids further improvement of reliability indicators. A risk of crosscountry fault increases in this case.

Fig. 3 shows how the issue of transformer's neutral point earthing is approached in ZSD. There are 3 types of it:

- a) Low impedance low resistance resistor is used.
- b) Hybrid compensated (Petersen coil) and in case of a ground fault a low impedance resistor is temporarily switched in parallel to the coil.
- c) Compensated Petersen coil + auxiliary winding with a secondary resistor.

Type a) is used in pure cable grids. Type b) is used in grids with majority of cables, but also with some overhead lines - periphery of cities. Type c) could be used in this case but a primary resistor is kept because a fault location system used in such grids is based on IO measurement used in ring main units - a primary resistor is a very convenient solution for this method (higher currents during a 1 phase fault in comparison with a secondary resistor). Only the currents are measured – a robust and reliable fault location system used in pure cable grids of ZSD.

There are following basic shunt-type of faults, in relation to Fig. 3:

- One-phase faults
- Ground faults 0
 - a) high current
 - b) temporary high current
 - c) low current (operational)
- Two-phase faults
- o Two-phase with ground
 - o Two-phase o Cross-country fault
- Three-phase faults.



Fig.3. Transformer's neutral earthing used in ZSD

Negative Sequence – Symmetrical Components

A very common theory and a tool for mathematical and graphical interpretation of asymmetrical phasors in three phase systems is based on symmetrical components. Any three asymmetrical phasors can be replaced by a system of symmetrical phasors (sequences) - positive (index 1), negative (index 2) and zero (index 0) sequence as illustrated in Fig. 4. Phase A is a base.



Fig.4. Graphical interpretation of symmetrical components

(1)
$$\overline{I}_1 = \frac{1}{3}(\overline{I}_A + \overline{a}\overline{I}_B + \overline{a}^2\overline{I}_C)$$

(2)
$$\overline{I}_2 = \frac{1}{3}(\overline{I}_A + \overline{a}^2 \overline{I}_B + \overline{a} \overline{I}_C)$$

(3)
$$\overline{I}_0 = \frac{1}{3}(\overline{I}_A + \overline{I}_B + \overline{I}_C)$$

Equations (1), (2), (3) are derived and considered to be a final product, especially the Equation (2) is important for this paper [9].

Analysis of some basic faults mentioned in Chapter II is provided for the purpose of the paper. A simplified grid shown in Fig. 5 is used for the analysis. No load conditions are assumed in the following theoretical analysis. There is a general impedance connected between the earth and the transformer's neutral point, which is explained more explicitly later. Let us assume that the fault is at the end of the line. Type of the fault is specified in subchapters.



Fig.5. Simplified MV grid

A. Ground Fault - Low Impedance Grounding

Fig. 6 shows sequence circuits for this case. They are connected in series on the basis of the fundamental theory.

For analytical and practical purposes, the following assumptions can be applied – transformer's sequence impedances $Z_{T,1}$, $Z_{T,2}$ and $Z_{T,0}$ can be neglected. Also, line's sequence impedances $Z_{\text{Line},1}$, $Z_{\text{Line},2}$ and $Z_{\text{Line},0}$ can be neglected. It's because their values are several times lower in comparison with resistance of grounding resistor – R_G ($R_G >> Z_T$ and $R_G >> Z_L$).

What shouldn't be neglected in general regarding real grids is grid's shunt impedance (mainly a line to ground capacitance) shown also in Fig. 5. It is apparent from Fig. 6 that the following aspects have impact on I_2 magnitude – low impedance grounding resistor, resistance of the fault itself, shunt capacitance of the grid.

Because the distributed capacitive reactances (impedances) $Z_{Shunt,1}$, $Z_{Shunt,2}$ and $Z_{Shunt,0}$ are very large, while the series impedance values $Z_{T,1}$, $Z_{T,2}$, $Z_{T,0}$, $Z_{Line,1}$, $Z_{Line,2}$, $Z_{Line,0}$ are very small, thus, practically, $Z_{Shunt,1}$ is shorted out by $Z_{T,1} + Z_{Line,1}$ in the positive sequence network, and $Z_{Shunt,2}$ is shorted out by $Z_{T,2} + Z_{Line,2}$ in the negative sequence network. Since these series impedances

are very low (they can be neglected as previously mentioned), Z_1 and Z_2 approach zero relative to the large values of $Z_{Shunt,0}$ and $R_G.$



Fig.6. Sequence circuits - ground fault in the grid a)

Moreover, Equations (4), (5) and (6) are derived with the assumption of no load conditions [9] in the fundamental theory. Therefore:

(4)
$$\overline{I}_1 = \overline{I}_2 = \overline{I}_0 = \frac{E}{\overline{Z}_1 + \overline{Z}_2 + \overline{Z}_0} = \frac{E}{3R_F + \frac{3R_G\overline{Z}_{Shunt.0}}{3R_G + \overline{Z}_{Shunt.0}}}$$

(5) $\overline{U}_1 = \overline{E} \quad \overline{U}_2 = 0 \quad \overline{U}_0 = -\overline{E}$
(6) $\overline{I}_A = 3\overline{I}_1$

If R_F goes to zero, then magnitude of the fault current is:

(7)
$$|I_F| = \sqrt{I_{RG}^2 + I_{Shunt,0}^2}$$

The real fault recorded by the feeder's protection relay is shown in Fig. 7 and Fig. 8. The fault was in phase A (in the diagrams L1 marked in green colour). Sequence currents are aligned in phase with the faulted phase A as it is according to the theory. The fault started as a crosscountry fault. It is apparent from Fig. 7 – the short circuit current went to several kAs but the protection relay of another feeder cleared it, anyway, after about 60 ms the fault re-appeared, but this time as a single line-to-ground fault. The primary resistor used in this grid limits the current to 600 A.



Fig.7. Real line-to-ground fault in the grid a) - RMS values



Fig.8. Real line-to-ground fault in the grid a) - phasor diagram

Pre-fault and fault current conditions are compared in Table 1, in relation to the real fault depicted in Fig. 7 and Fig. 8. Highly symmetrical load is apparent.

Table 1. Pre-fault and fault conditions					
Current	Pre-fault	Fault			
I _A [A]	55∠0°	690∠-2°			
I _B [A]	55,6∠-120,5°	58,9∠-124,8°			
I _C [A]	55,9∠120,8°	48,1∠127,1°			
I ₁ [A]	56∠0°	270∠-3°			
I ₂ [A]	0	220∠-1°			
I ₀ [A]	0	210∠-0,8°			

Table 1. Pre-fault and fault conditions

B. Ground Fault - Resonant Grounding

Fig. 9. shows sequence circuits for this case, i. e. the same connection as in Fig. 6, just a different device connected to a transformer's neutral point – adjustable Petersen coil (L_P) which compensates line to ground capacitive currents of healthy phases in the location of a fault. Sequence currents are as follows:

(8)
$$\overline{I}_1 = \overline{I}_2 = \overline{I}_0 = \frac{\overline{E}}{\overline{Z}_1 + \overline{Z}_2 + \overline{Z}_0} = -j \frac{\overline{E}}{3R_F + \frac{3X_{Lp}X_{Shunt.0}}{3X_{Lp} - X_{Shunt.0}}}$$

The same assumptions and neglections made in ground fault with low impedance grounding can be applied also in this case with positive, negative and zero sequence impedances of a transformer and a line. Equation (5) for sequence voltages applies also in this case.

If $X_{Lp} - X_{Shunt,0} = 0$, then $I_1 = I_2 = I_0 = 0$. Situation in compensated grids (resonant grounding) is therefore different. After decay of transient phenomena, the new steady-state currents of either healthy or even faulty feeder have operational values.

It is apparent from Fig. 10 and Fig. 11. In symmetrical grids, there is almost no I_2 current (Fig. 11). Fig. 10 illustrates behaviour of currents after a fault in phase A occurs – the faulted phase A current decreased a bit, the healthy phase B current increased quite a bit and the healthy phase C current decreased a bit. The reason behind this is the following:

- Phase A system's capacitance is shortened by a ground fault, so the corresponding capacitive current goes to zero.
- There is a shift of healthy phase voltages, the angle between them is not anymore 120°, but 60° and this fact causes also shift in capacitive currents. There is also a

change in magnitude of the currents which is $\sqrt{3}$ higher than a pre-fault per each phase. Both the angle and the magnitude depend on the value of R_F.

Ratio between a load and a capacitive current is also important.



Fig.9. Sequence circuits - ground fault in the grid b)

This fact is apparent even from Table 1. However, it is less obvious due to the current of the primary resistor.

Throughout the system the distributed capacitance $X_{Shunt,1}$ and $X_{Shunt,2}$ is actually parallel with the series reactances X_T , X_{Line} and so on, so that in the system I₁ and I₂ are not quite equal to I₀ in the system [10]. If shunt impedances of the system are symmetrical, then capacitive currents of healthy phases do not contribute to I₂ current. This is also apparent from Fig. 11.



Fig.10. Real line-to-ground fault in the grid b) - RMS values



Fig.11. Real line-to-ground fault in the grid b) - phasor diagram

However, I_2 current would appear temporary – after a primary resistor is switched in parallel with the coil. It is common for the operation that X_{Lp} is tuned close to the resonance.

Therefore, in resonant grounded systems, which are symmetrical, I_2 would be very low, almost equal to zero.

Real fault recorded by a feeder's protection relay is as follows:

If R_F goes to zero, then the fault current is:

(9)
$$\overline{I}_F = \overline{I}_{R,Shunt,0} + \overline{I}_{XLp} + \overline{I}_{X,Shunt,0}$$

Essentially, the fault current is equal just to resistive losses of the system's shunt impedance, however, the coil must be tuned to resonance. In general, a so called detuning current can be assumed and then the magnitude of ${\sf I}_{\sf F}$ is:

(10)
$$\left|I_F\right| = \sqrt{I_{R,Shunt,0}^2 + I_{Detuning}^2}$$

Pre-fault and fault current conditions are compared in Table 2, in relation to the real fault depicted in Fig. 10 and Fig. 11. Highly symmetrical load is apparent.

Current	Pre-fault	Fault		
I _A [A]	111∠0°	106∠0°		
I _B [A]	109∠-121°	141∠-100,8°		
I _c [A]	110∠120,6°	90,9∠154,3°		
I ₁ [A]	110∠0°	110∠17,3°		
I ₂ [A]	1,54∠-6,4°	2∠15,4°		
I ₀ [A]	0,45∠107,3°	33,1∠-91,5°		

Table 2. Pre-fault and fault conditions

C. Line-to-Line Fault

Shunt impedances can be neglected for this type of fault, their contribution to fault current is very small. A fault between phases B-C is considered. The following assumptions applies for the fault – $I_A = 0$, $I_B = -I_C$ and $U_{fB} = U_{fC}$ (if $R_F = 0$). Therefore, $I_1 = -I_2$ and $I_0 = 0$.



Fig.12. Sequence circuits - line-to-line fault

Connection of sequence circuits in Fig. 12 corresponds with the applied assumptions. Equations (11), (12), (13) can be derived from Fig. 12 and the assumptions applied for this type of fault:

(11)
$$\overline{I}_1 = \frac{\overline{E}}{\overline{Z}_1 + \overline{Z}_2 + R_F} = \frac{\overline{E}}{\overline{Z}_{T,1} + \overline{Z}_{Line,1} + \overline{Z}_{T,2} + \overline{Z}_{Line,2} + R_F}$$

(12)
$$\overline{I}_1 = \frac{1}{3}(\overline{I}_A + \overline{a}\overline{I}_B + \overline{a}^2\overline{I}_C) = \frac{1}{3}(\overline{a}\overline{I}_B - \overline{a}^2\overline{I}_B) = j\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\overline{I}_B$$

(13) $\overline{I}_2 = \frac{1}{3}(\overline{I}_A + \overline{a}^2\overline{I}_B + \overline{a}\overline{I}_C) = \frac{1}{3}(\overline{a}^2\overline{I}_B - \overline{a}\overline{I}_B) = -j\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\overline{I}_B$

Equation (13) shows the major advantage that comes with negative sequence current protection relay – normal overcurrent relay must be set up to $x.I_N$ ($x.I_B$), where x starts roughly at 1,2. Negative sequence current relay is more sensitive by a factor of $\sqrt{3}$.

Real fault recorded by a feeder's protection relay is as follows:



Fig.13. Real line-to-line fault - RMS values



Fig.14. Real line-to-line fault – phasor diagram

Pre-fault and fault current conditions are compared in Table 3, regarding the real fault depicted in Fig. 13 and Fig. 14. Highly symmetrical load is apparent.

Table 3. Pre-fault and fault conditions

Current	Pre-fault	Fault			
I _A [A]	27,4∠0°	70,7∠0°			
Ι _Β [A]	27∠-119,1°	3640∠-133°			
I _c [A]	27,1∠121,3°	3460∠48,3°			
I₁[A]	27,2∠0,7°	2080∠-41,1°			
I ₂ [A]	0,28∠-50°	2020∠136,3°			

It is apparent that I_A increased a bit – this is caused by MV/LV distribution transformers and their windings connection – Dyn or Yzn is used in grids of ZSD. $|I_B| \neq |I_C|$ because of load currents.

Load itself can be quite a significant contributor in general regarding the magnitude of I_2 , however, it is not the case with ZSD's grids – whole lines are built as three-phase (highly symmetrical shunt impedance / capacitance) and there is no significant 1-phase or 2-phase load connected to the MV grid.

There is I_2 during cross-country and a two-phase-toground faults. There is no I_2 during a three-phase fault according to the theory – a symmetrical fault. It is obvious that I_2 protection relay can't be applied as a general solution according to the theory, but also according to recorded faults.

Aspects Influencing I₂ Magnitude

The following aspects and their influence on I₂ are analysed for the purpose of this paper – fault's resistance (R_F), line's length and a special case of asymmetrical load that can occur even in symmetrical grids. Calculations were carried out in Excel based on equations stated in the theoretical chapter. Grid's line-to-line voltage U = 22 kV, transformer's S_N = 40 MVA. Current's base for pu system is 1 kA.

The graph in Fig. 15 shows relation between $|I_2|$ and R_F in grids from Fig. 3 – a) and temporarily in b). Line's series impedance was neglected in this calculation. When the ratio between a grounding resistor and a cable's impedance is taken into consideration, then the neglection is acceptable.

It is apparent that I₂ magnitude drops relatively fast but one fact must be mentioned – real faults in cable grids and their R_F are close to 0. In Fig. 15, there are 3 curves in relation to neutral point resistor's nominal current. Commonly used values were analysed.



Fig.15. Influence of fault's resistance on $|\mathsf{I}_2|$ – low impedance grounding

However, line's impedance shouldn't be neglected in case of overhead lines. The length of a line is for sure a limiting factor for I_2 magnitude in this case. Commonly used AIFe conductors are included in Table 4, where the reactance per kilometer was calculated for a typical conductor arrangement used in MV grids of ZSD.

S [mm ²]	R [Ωkm⁻¹]	X [Ωkm ⁻¹]	Z [Ωkm⁻¹]		
35	0,778	0,411	0,879∠27,85°		
42	0,685	0,406	0,796∠30,65°		
50	0,615	0,403	0,735∠33,24°		
70	0,434	0,372	0,572∠40,6°		
110	0,266	0,357	0,445∠53,31°		
120	0,225	0,354	0,419∠57,56°		
150	0,169	0,342	0,381∠63,7°		
185	0,159	0,34	0,375∠64,9°		

Table 4. MV AIFe conductors

Relation between the length of a line and I_2 magnitude is shown in Fig. 16 for a different type of AIFe conductors from Table 4. Line-to-line fault is assumed at the end of a line.



Fig.16. Influence of the length of a line on $|I_2|$

The graph in Fig. 17 shows relation between $|I_2|$ and R_F on a line with AIFe 110 – a cross section commonly used in the main part of a MV line. The length of a line starts at 10 km and goes to 100 km with increment of 10 km.



Fig.17. Influence of R_F on $|I_2|$

The last analysis deals with the situation which can cause asymmetrical load conditions even in symmetrical grids. It is caused by asynchronous closing of contacts in case of any kind of switchgear used in a grid – a disconnector, a CB or a load-break switch. We have encountered situations, especially with load-break switches mounted to poles, with two contacts closing synchronously and the third one closing after 1s – this time has been the maximum that we have experienced in ZSD grid.

These switches are often used during maintenance in a grid. Therefore, this fact must be taken into consideration regarding time grading of I₂ protection relay. A symmetrical grid with I_A = $1 \angle 0^{\circ}$ pu, I_B = $1 \angle -120^{\circ}$ pu and I_C = $1 \angle 120^{\circ}$ pu is assumed. Closing of a contact in phase C is asynchronous for this analysis and corresponding I₂ is analyzed in Fig. 18.



Fig.18. Influence of load asymmetry



Fig.19. Active and reactive power during the fault

Real Fault Before I₂ Protection Relay Implementation

The cause of the fault was a direct lightning strike to an overhead line. Direct lightning strikes can be quite easily confirmed thanks to modern technologies and tools provided by meteorological institutes. It was a two-phase fault. The maximum power achieved during the fault was $S_{MAX} = 23,16$ MVA – Fig. 19 and the supplying HV/MV transformer's nominal power $S_N = 25$ MVA. It was literally just a heavy load for the machine. The fault was cleared naturally after roughly 40 s because two conductors were broken and fell to the ground – load side (not towards the feeding substation), so the arc was extinguished. This is obviously an unwanted result – the fault itself was there for such a long time that it caused severe damage to the equipment of the distribution grid.



Fig. 20. Damage caused to the overhead line after a breaker failure -2 downed conductors

Implementation Process

Situation in our grids before implementing the current negative sequence protection relay was as follows:

- Backup was handled only by a HV/MV transformer's overcurrent relay.
- Wiring between the protection relays does not support a similar solution which is used in higher voltage systems.

Rewiring the circuits of relays in all our substations would be both time and economically consuming. Implementing the current negative sequence relay required:

- Setting up the function in the existing relays.
- Setting up the signal in a SCADA.
- Testing the relay.
- Testing the communication to a SCADA and a dispatch centre.

All the points mentioned above would be mandatory even in case of rewiring. Thing is that these 4 points were handled in general by our own staff.

A. Negative Sequence Relay Setup

The most important thing that must not be overlooked when we implement the l_2 relay is the symmetry of the load throughout the grid. The maximum $|l_2|$ in our grids is 5.78 A, the relative value is 5.45% (statistical data are from 91 HV/MV transformers). Therefore, ZSD grids can be considered still very symmetrical. If there is a significant asymmetrical load connected to a HV/MV transformer in a grid, then the l_2 protection relay can be setup just to a signalization instead of a standard trip function.

What must be considered in general regarding setup of I_2 protection relay is the ratio of feeder's current instrument transformers and a feeder's overcurrent protection I> threshold. If there is a situation where some feeders have different ratios of current instrument transformers compared to others, then I_2 protection relay must be activated also on feeders with higher ratios. This is a precaution for a situation of a resistive fault that occurred on a feeder with higher overcurrent I> setup. This can trip a feeding transformer and it would be considered a false trip.

B. Implementation to Existing Relays

All protection relays used in the grid are digital. Majority of ZSD MV substations have two main bus-bars. Each busbar has a single supplying transformer (HV/MV). In this case, there is always one bus coupler (BC). Some substations are also equipped with bus sectionalizers (one for each bus), then there are two separate bus couplers. The new standard of our MV substations (GIS – gas insulated substation) is – two main bus-bars, two bussectionalizers (with CB) and two bus couplers. Only a few substations have a single bus-bar and no bus sectionalizer (BS). The summary of typical cases in ZSD grids is as follows:

- Two main buses (two HV/MV transformers) and BCs I₂ function is active in protection relays of transformers (MV side) and both BCs.
- Two main buses (two HV/MV transformers), two BCs and two BSs – I₂ function is active in protection relays of transformers (MV side), BCs and BSs (if these are equipped with a CB).
- A single bus-bar (one HV/MV transformer) I₂ function is active only in a protection relay of a transformer (MV side).
- A single bus-bar (one HV/MV transformer) and a BS I₂ function is active in protection relays of a transformer (MV side) and a BS.

 ${\sf I}_2$ protection relays of BCs and BSs are graded from protection relays of transformers – selectivity. So, in case of a BF, there is mostly one of the bus switches (BS or BC) clearing this fault as a backup – only part of a substation would be affected by an outage. In cases with a single bus and no BS, the whole bus would be affected by an outage.

Conclusion

BF is a severe type of fault. Not all MV substations and infrastructure of their protection relays were built in a way similar to higher voltage level substations (wiring mentioned in the introduction, or technological perspective -busbar differential protection). The only backup in case of a breaker failure was historically left to ordinary overcurrent protection relays of feeding transformers and bus sectionalizers – a so called BFP by coordination. The combination of long overhead lines, a fault at the far end of such an overhead line and low load conditions (natural behaviour during nights) can create situations with faulty currents which cannot be identified as a fault for conventional overcurrent protection relays (lower values below a threshold). Feeder's breaker failure during such conditions is a serious issue.

This paper presents the theoretical analysis combined with real faults. Aspects affecting $|I_2|$ are also stated and analysed. Implementation of I2 protection relay considerably improves the situation. BFP by coordination is improved due to this solution - the problem with longer lines is diminished. Response of an operator (at a control room / dispatch centre) cannot be fast enough for breaker failure situations. However, it is not a general solution because there are also symmetrical faults - three-phase faults. These faults would not be identified by the relay. It is also important to say that this type of fault is statistically less These faults with the conditions already occurring. mentioned - the fault is at the far end of a line, the load current is low and the total current is below overcurrent relay's threshold, would be left just to the operator and remote control of the CB.

Acknowledgment: This publication was created thanks to support under the Operational Program Integrated

Infrastructure for the project: International Center of Excellence for Research on Intelligent and Secure Information and Communication Technologies and Systems - II. stage, ITMS code: 313021W404, co-financed by the European Regional Development Fund.

Authors: Ing. Tomáš Škumát, Západoslovenská distribučná a. s., Čulenova 6. 816 47 Bratislava, Slovakia, E-mail: <u>Tomas.Skumat@zsdis.sk</u> doc. Ing. Žaneta Eleschová, PhD. Slovak University of Technology,

aoc. Ing. Zaneta Eleschova, PhD. Slovak University of Technology, Ilkovičova 3. 812 19 Bratislava, Slovakia, E-mail: <u>zaneta.eleschova@stuba.sk</u>

REFERENCES

- IEEE Guide for Breaker Failure Protection of Power Circuit Breakers, IEEE C.37.119-2016, 2016.
- [2] Kasztenny B., Thompson M., Breaker Failure Protection Standalone or Integrated With Zone Protection Relays?, Proceedings of the 2nd Annual Protection, Automation and Control World Conference, Dublin, Ireland, June 27-30, 2011.
- [3] Xue Y., Thakhar M., Theron J. C. and Erwin D. P., Review of the Breaker Failure Protection practices in Utilities, 2012 65th

Annual Conference for Protective Relay Engineers, College Station, TX, USA, 2012, pp. 260-268.

- [4] Chojnacki A. Ł., Podstawowe funkcje niezawodnościowe stacji 110kV/SN oraz rozdzielni sieciowych SN, Przegląd Elektrotechniczny, 2016, R. 92, NR 8, pp. 238-241.
- [5] Network Protection & Automation Guide, Alstom Grid, May 2011. ISBN: 978-0-9568678-0-3.
- [6] Janíček F., Chladný V., Beláň A., Eleschová Ž., Digitálne ochrany v elektrizačnej sústave. Bratislava: Vydavateľstvo STU, 2004 Slovak republic. 360 pages. 1. release, ISBN: 80-227-2135-2.
- [7] Procházka K., Vybrané problémy provozu distribučních sítí VN, VÚE Brno, 1992, pp. 1-64.
- [8] Grym R., Hochman P., Bermann J., Machoň J., Cichoň B., Chránění II. Havířov: Irena Satinská – IRIS, 2004. 305 pages. ISBN: 80-903540-0-9.
- [9] Trojánek Z., Hájek J., Kvasnica P., Přechodné jevy v elektrizačních soustavách. Praha: Nakladatelství technické literatury, 1987 Czech republic. 312 pages. 1. release
- [10] Blackburn L.J., Symmetrical Components for Power Systems Engineering. New York: Marcel Dekker, Inc., 1993 USA. 427 pages. 1. Release.

PRZEGLĄD ELEKTROTECHNICZNY Vol 2021, No 11

Contents

~ 1	NO ALL Makidus P. OARKER, Absord ARRIU IRRAUM, Respirit NOUAMER, A Link Onis Veriable Reset RO DO Occurrence for	
01	M.S. ALI, Manidur R SARKER, Anmad ASRUL IBRAHIM, Ramizi MOHAMED - A High Gain Variable Boost DC-DC Converter for	1
02	Abdelkader Abdallah, Abdelkader Chaker, Tayeb Allaoui - An adaptive RST fuzzy logic and an adaptive PI fuzzy logic controllers of	11
03	Tadeusz PIETKIEWICZ, Patryk DUDEK - Comparison of maritime objects recognition efficiency upon FLIR images using neural	21
04	Ahmed Jamel Abdullah Al-Gburi, Imran Bin Mohd Ibrahim, Zahriladha Zakaria,. Nur Farzana Bt Mohd Nazli - Wideband	26
05	Mowafak K. Mohsen, Ayad Hameed Mousa, Rawya Read Jowad - New design of low profile Uniform Substrate integrated	30
06	Pichaya CHAIPANYA, Nuchanart SANTALUNAI, Samran SANTALUNAI - A design of a single element switched beam antenna on Muchanam like EPC structures	35
07	Syah ALAM, Indra SURJATI, Lydia SARI, Atria ANINDITO, Agam Yudi PUTRANTO, Teguh Firmansya - Bandwidth Enhancement	40
08	MANSUR, Salama MANJANG, Ardiaty ARIEF, Yusri Syam AKIL - Hybrid renewable energy generation planning for isolated microard in Indonesia with metabeuristic approach	45
09	Agus SISWANTO, Ansar SUYUTI, Indar CHAERAH GUNADI, Sri MAWAR SAID - Steady-State Stability Limit (SSSL) Assessment when Wind Turbine Penetration to South Sulawesi System Using ANN	51
10	Sanchai EARDPRAB, Tajchai PUMPOUNG - Designing LabVIEW Application for Impedance Measurement by using Differential Probe	57
11	Thanat NONTHAPUTHA, Montree KUMNGERN - CMOS Single Input Multiple Output Universal Biquad Filter Current-Mode Using Only OTAs	64
12	Ameur Fethi AIMER, Ahmed Hamida BOUDINAR, Mohamed Amine KHODJA, Azeddine BENDIABDELLAH - Frequency resolution improvements in induction motor fault diagnosis : Experimental validation	69
13	Nazar Jabbar Alhyani, Oday Kamil Hamid, Sarah yahia Ali, Ayman Mohammed Ibrahim - Efficient Terrestrial Digital Video Broadcasting Receivers Based OFDM Techniques	74
14	Narongchai Thodsaporn, Vijit Kinnares, Papol Sardyoung Grid Connected Unsymmetrical Two-Phase Induction Generator System Using Time-Based Unbalanced Space Vector PWM and Adaptive Hysteresis Band Current Control	78
15	Elena Sosnina, Andrey Shalukho, Leonid Veselov - The SOFC in the Hybrid Power Supply System of a Livestock Enterprise	89
16	NEKROUF Sadek, CHEKROUN Soufyane - Optimal controller design for a birotor helicopter	93
17	TABTI Khatir, MOSTEFAI Lotfi, CHEKROUN Soufyane, LARBAOUI Ahmed - Electric vehicle yaw moment control based on the body sideslip estimation	97
18	Weera RATTANANGAM, Chuthong SUMMATTA - Relay Drive Circuits for a Safe Operation Order with a Digital Logic ICs Sequential Switching Function	102
19	Murat ASANOV, Salima ASANOVA, Murodbek SAFARALIEV, Egor LYUKHANOV, Alexander TAVLINTSEV, Stanislav SHELYUG - Elementwise power losses calculation in complex distribution power networks represented by hierarchical-multilevel topology structure.	106
20	Szczepan PASZKIEL, Ryszard ROJEK, Ningrong LEI, Maria Antonio CASTRO - Review of solutions for the application of example	111
21	Ernest BRZOZOWSKI, Oskar SADOWSKI, Paweł GÓRECKI, Krzysztof GÓRECKI, Ryszard KISIEL, Marek GUZIEWICZ - Fyaluation of Assembly Technology of IGBT Mounted onto TO-220 by Durability Tests	117
22	Jacek Maciej STANKIEWICZ - Selection of load impedance in order to maximize the receiver power in the periodic WPT system	122
23	Robert Grolik, Michał Góra, Mateusz Góra, Mateusz Dyląg - A study of the influence of external factors on the temperature control	128
24	process of a 3D printer nead in FDM technology	122
24 25	Artur SZCZĘSNT, Adam GRACZTR - Lew current transducer as an alternative for Pertaint current transdomer in RCD protection Tomáš ŠKIIMÁT Žanata El ESCHOVÁ - Negative Sequence Current as a Prakter Failure Protection for Medium Voltane Grid	136
26	Oleksandr SHAVOLKIN, Irvna SHVEDCHYKOVA, Svitana DEMISHONKOVA, Volodymyr PAVLENKO - Increasing the efficiency	144
	of hybrid photoelectric system equipped with a storage battery to meet the needs of local object with generation of electricity into grid	
27	Michał PIEKARZ - The analysis of the wind generation impact on the power system stability	150
28	Tomasz KOTLICKI - Energy efficiency of power plant auxiliaries	154
29	Sebastian LACHECINSKI - Support of temporal data for the transaction time on the ORACLE platform	159
30	Hatem Mohammed Naguib Fiyad, Ahmed Gamal Abdellatif, Adel Zaghloul Mahamoud, Mostafa Mohamed Ahmed, Mohamed E	164
31	Asr, Fathi Elsayed Abdelsamie - An improved real visual tracking system using particle filte Ajay Vasanth. X, P. Sam Paul, D. S. Shylu, P. Mano Paul - IoT based prognostics using MEMS sensor with single board computers	170
32	for rotary machines Shatha Y. Ismail ¹ , Zozan Saadallah Hussain, Hassaan TH. H. Thabet, Mohamad Mo.Z.M. Amin, Thabit H. Thabit - Design and	175
33	Simulation of an Adaptable Pulsating Irrigation System Using Programmable Logic Controller Unit Ihor Kupchuk, Vitalii Yaropud, Valerii Hraniak, Julia Poberezhets, Oleksii Tokarchuk, Volodymyr Hontar, Andrii Didyk - Multigrich geometrica ef faced group gringer program.	179
34	M.S. ALI, Mahidur R SARKER, Ahmad ASRUL IBRAHIM, Ramizi MOHAMED - High Efficiency Flywheel Motor Generator Model with Frequency Converter Controlled	184
35	Hussam Keriee, Mohamad Kamal A. Rahim, Osman Ayop, Nawres Abbas Nayyef, Ahmed Jamal Abdullah Al-Gburi, B.A.F Esmail, Sved Muzahir Abbas - Wideband Planar Microstrip Antenna Based on Split Ring Resonator For 5G Mobile Applications	190
36	Anis Jouini, Azer Hasnaoui, Fethi Mejri, Adnane Cherif, Salem Hasnaoui - A Real time Software Tool for 2D/3D Antenna Radiation Pattern	195
37 38	Salem GAHGOUH, Imen SAIDI, Ali GHARSALLAH - Optimal study and analysis of the directivity of acoustic antennas multi-sensors Tomasz NACZYŃSKI, Roman KORAB - Possibilities of forming the electricity balance of an individual customer equipped with a	199 203
39	photovoltaic source Yuriy SAYENKO, Ryszard PAWEŁEK, Vadym LIUBARTSEV - Wind power forecasting based on meteorological data using neural	207
40	networks Dariusz ŚWISULSKI - Higher schools teaching Polish electrical engineers before World War II	211



Oldest magazine of Polish electrician. It appears since 1919.

INFORMATION

SCIENTIFIC EDITORIAL BOARD

SEARCH

INFORMATION – CONTACT

MENU

Information

Search

Archive

Last issue

Next issue

Waiting for print

Scientific editorial board

Information – Contact

Price list of subscription

Information about Journal ISSN 0033-2097 e-ISSN 2449-9544

Editor-in-Chief : prof. Sławomir TUMANSKI e-mail:<u>tumanski@tumanski.pl</u>

Address of the Journal: ul. Ratuszowa 11 03-450 Warszawa tel. mobile. 48 693 428 056 e-mail:<u>red.pe@sigma-not.pl</u>

Important information: Since 2018 we return to Web of Science - to the base ESCI - Emerging Sources Citation Index. In comparison to full SCIE - Science Citation Index Expanded it means that the abstracts of the papers published in PE are in WoS base but the IF of the journal is not published. If it will be observed significant improvement of scientific quality of the journal (more citations in other journals, less auto-citations) the journal can be advanced to SCIE.

Starting from 2011 all papers are published in Open Access mode. It means that everyone can free of charge download pdf file of this paper from our Internet page and freely use it (respecting of course intellectual property of the authors) (Licence CC-BY-NC-ND).

Important note: Starting from 1 Januaray 2015 we accept only submission of comprehensive papers - it means that minimal length of the paper is 4 pages.

New. Since 2014 all papers have doi number with prefix 10.15199/48. For example the first paper in December issue has doi:10.15199/48.2017.12.01. The doi number introduced to Internet browser (for example as: http://dx.doi.org/10.15199/48.2017.12.01) should direct to pdf file of this paper. Before 2015 doi number was with prefix: 10.12915/pe.

Attention: It is possible to buy paper copy of Przeglad Elektrotechniczny by sending the order to: kolportaz@sigma-not.pl

Archival papers in pdf format are available in:www.sigma-not.pl

Format - A4 Papier - chalk overlay paper Colour - full colour if necessary Volume - about 200 pages (120-300 pages) Circulation - monthly Availability - subscription

Main information about the journal

"Przegląd Elektrotechniczny" exists since 1919 and it is one of the oldest Polish scientific journals. It is dedicated to electrical engineering. The owner of the tittle is SEP (Society of Polish Electrical and Electronics Engineers). Przeglad Elektrotechniczny is published monthly in average 200 pages per issue (120 - 300 pages). We publish the papers in Polish or English. The Scientific Level of our Journal is controlled by 44-person Program Editorial Board.

Name of the journal.

Official name of our journal is **Przeglad Elektrotechniczny**. Therefore authors are requested to use only this name (not English translation Electrical Review) because we are registered in all databases as Przeglad Elektrotechniczny.

Przegląd Elektrotechniczny in Databases

Abstracts of the papers published in Przeglad Elektrotechniczny are cited by international databases: WoS, SCOPUS, INSPEC and EBSCO.

Preparation and submission of the papers

Przeglad Elektrotechniczny publishes only original scientific papers (or review papers written by reputable specialists)."Original" means work, which was not published before – as a whole or as a substantial part – in other publications, including authors' publications. If the published work is a part of a doctoral thesis or similar authors should inform about it. All published papers are peer reviewed.We publish only papers with positive conclusion of the reviewer.

Printing costs/Charges

Przeglad Elektrotechniczny is not supported by any organisations and has only one source of incomes – subscription. This income enables to print not more than 30 pages per issue. That is why we request Authors to participate in printing costs with reasonable small amount of 35 Euro per page b/w and 50 Euro per page in colour. In return we publish free all papers in open access mode on our www page.

FAQ - Timing of submission procedure

The time period between submission and publication depends on three factors: a) looking for reviewer and receiving the review, b) waing for corrected final version, c) waiting in the queue. Ad a) We send papers to reviewers directly after submission. Many of reviewers send the review in time not exceeding 10 days. But sometimes we are waiting long time for review and after about two weeks it is necessary to look for other reviewer. It should be noted that we have submitted usually more than 500 papers a year and it is not easy to find so many reviewers. Therefore important is cooperation between authors and editor - authors are the right to suggest reviewers. If this suggested reviewer is reliable it can speed up the review process. It is stronly recommended to propose reviewers among PE authors (especially Polish authors) because it is more easy to motivate these reviewers. Ad b) Conclusion of the review can be: accept as it is, accept with minor changes, accept with significant changes (repeat of the revies is necessary) or do not publish. Final version should take into consideration advices of the reviewer. Ad c) When the accepted paper is ready to publication it has to wait in a queue because we have to respect the order of submission. Typically time period between submission and publication does not exceed half of a year but if all components of submission procedure are realised efficiently it can be much shorter.

Authors are requested to fulfill **Submission form**, which is available on the website <u>www.red.pe.org.pl</u> (file <u>submission.doc</u>).

The reviewers are asked to control the international standards of scientific publications (quality of work, originality, suitability of references, etc.) The papers can be submitted in English or Polish – the language is a choice of authors. However, translation from one language to another is NOT provided.

The papers should be formatted according to a template, which is available on the website <u>www.red.pe.org.pl</u> (file <u>sample.doc</u>).

The paper (with main text, abstract and keywords) should be submitted ONLY via email (if the manuscript file is too large it can be sent by ftp – in such a case please contact the Editor beforehand).

The manuscript should be prepared as a Microsoft Word document (*.doc format) with embedded images. (The editorial office does NOT offer any services for typing from printed documents or making the images – all materials should be prepared by the authors.) Source files for the images are required (preferably in *.eps or *.tif format, but any other commonly used format is acceptable). A standard width of an image is equal to the width of a single column (8 cm). In the final version (8 cm wide), the inscriptions and captions within the 8 cm wide images should be NOT smaller than 2 mm. Each paper must contain postal and email address of the authors. In special cases (please contact the Editor beforehand) manuscripts in Latex format are accepted (Latex template is available on the website).

The authors should bear in mind, that Przeglad is devoted to a wide engineering audience. For this reason, if the paper relates to a specialised topic, a suitable introduction explaining the basic concepts and progress in the area should be included for the benefit of the potential readers. **References** should include the up to date publications in the matter. If in the paper there are little number of references or not up to date this may suggest that either the authors do not know the state-of-the-art in a given topic or that the topic is of little interest to the readers. Early-stage career authors are encouraged to submit their publications (e.g. compilations of their MSc or PhD theses). Industrial authors are also very welcome to submit papers describing commissioning of new solutions or inventions. The authors must be able to guarantee that the text and images used in the paper are their sole intellectual property. **By submitting the work for publication in Przegląd, the authors transfer copyrights to the manuscript in its printed and Internet version to the publisher.**

Documents

- 1. Preparation in the Word format: [sample.doc] [sample.pdf]
- 2. Preparation in the Latex format: [latex.zip].
- 3. Submission form: [submission.doc].
- 4. Referee report: [review.doc].
- 5. Reviewer submission: [reviewer.doc].

Reviewers needed

We encourage specialist to declaire willingness to prepare the reviews. The reviewers cooperating with our journal can obtain some privileges. It is sufficient to fulfill "reviewer submission" form, which is available on the website <u>www.red.pe.org.pl</u> (file <u>reviewer.doc</u>).

Advertisements

Journal publish advertisements - mainly on the cover pages.

The order of the advertisement can be sent directly to:

- Editor-in-Chief tel 0 693 428 056
- Marketing Division of SIGMA-NOT Company
 - ul. Mazowiecka 12; 00-950 Warszawa, skr. 1004; tel./faks: (0 22) 827 43 66, 826 80 16

Issues with postconference papers

Przeglad Elektrotechniczny does NOT publish conference materials (e.g. papers submitted to a conference), with the possible exception of invited or keynote speaker papers. However, the extended versions of full papers (post-conference), different from those presented at the conference, can be published. Therefore, the organisers of the conference should consider accepting the conference materials in a form of extended abstracts for further extension. The post-conference papers can be then corrected and expanded upon, also by including the discussions from the conference.

We publish of postconference papers because in our opinion it extends the circle of our authors and readers. But not all postconference papers will be published – only the best papers with sufficiently good scientific quality should be recommended by Scientific Committe (based also on the recommendations of the peer-review referees). The Editorial Office can reject further papers based on the recommendation of additional referees in guestionable cases.

We do not print the special conference or postconference issues - all papers recommended by Scientific Committee of the conference are next treated as normal papers. In comparison with normally submitted papers papers recommended by Conference Organizers can be published together in the same issue of Przeglad Elektrotechniczny (special topic issue).

Portal informacji technicznej | Wykonanie i obsługa strony

Copyright © 2015-2020. All Rights Reserved.